

|   |                        |                     |  |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|   | 10/591,284             | KOSUGI ET AL.       |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|   | JUSTIN HOLMES          | 3655                |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) JUSTIN HOLMES.

(2) Stephen R. Funk, Esq.

**Date of Interview:** 31 May 2011

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 10:04 am EST

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

14, 21, 26

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Applicant's Attorney authorized the amendments to the claims as set forth in the attached Examiner's Amendment to more specifically define the subject invention.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/JUSTIN HOLMES/  
Examiner, Art Unit 3655

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)